

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/500,145	07/09/2004	Martin Volland	255259US0PCT	5229	
232850 7550 03/31/2008 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			NWAONICHA, CHUKWUMA O		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1621		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/31/2008	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

### Application No. Applicant(s) 10/500,145 VOLLAND ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit CHUKWUMA O. NWAONICHA 1621 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 November 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 17-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 27-29 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 17-20 and 22-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 21 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

6) Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/500,145

Art Unit: 1621

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Current Status

- This action is responsive to Applicants' amendment of 29 November 2007.
- 2. Receipt and entry of Applicants' amendment is acknowledged.
- 3. Claims 17-29 are pending in the application.
- 4. The rejection of claim 17 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Breikes et al., {US 5,710,344}, for the reasons set forth in the previous Office Action of 10/25/2007 is withdrawn following Applicants amendment.
- 5. The 112 and 101 rejections are maintained for the reasons stated in the previous office Action dated 10/25/2007. The claims recite a method of synthesizing a compound, but, since the claims do not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. For example, claim 17 recites "forming an acid". There is no step or steps how the acid is formed. Additionally, the structure of the compounds in claim 25 is required to understand the process applicants are claiming.
- 6. The rejection of claim 17-20 and 22-26 under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Breikes et al., {US 5,710,344}, for the reasons set forth in the previous Office Action of 10/25/2007 is maintained for the reasons stated in the previous office Action dated 10/25/2007.

Applicants argue that Breikes et al. process differs from the instantly claimed process in that Applicants claim a process that employs liquid base salt with phase separation while Breikes et al. teach a process that employed solid base salt. The

Application/Control Number: 10/500,145

Art Unit: 1621

Examiner found Applicants' argument not convincing because claim 17 did not define the base applicants are claiming. The prior art of Breikes et al. teach a process that employed triethylamine base with boiling point 89.7°C while applicants claim a process that employs cyclic amines base with melting point less than 160°C. Therefore, the formation of a solid or liquid salt is an inherent property of amine salts and does not constitute a patentable distinction.

Moreover, all the claimed elements of the claimed invention were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Therefore, the instantly claimed invention would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 21 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

It should be noted that Applicants have added the non-elected groups to the claims, and the Examiner has searched and examined these groups.

Applicants' amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/500,145

Art Unit: 1621

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chukwuma O. Nwaonicha whose telephone number is 571-272-2908. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne (Bonnie) Eyler can be reached on 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should Application/Control Number: 10/500,145 Page 5

Art Unit: 1621

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Chukwuma O. Nwaonicha/ Examiner, Ar

/Jafar Parsa/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621